



INDIANA HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL RULES OF COMPETITION

The Indiana Bar Foundation (“Foundation”) welcomes your participation in the Indiana High School Mock Trial Competition (“Competition”).

STUDENTS: Through participation, you will experience what it is like to prepare for, advocate and present a case in a court. Working with your team and coaches, you will learn to evaluate information, develop a presentation of your position, and respond to facts and arguments that are contrary to the position you are arguing. As you prepare, you will sharpen public speaking and presentation skills. You will also learn how the legal system works with an emphasis on the principles of civility and professionalism. By studying and understanding the trial process, you will become more comfortable with federal and state laws and courtroom procedures.

FACULTY SPONSORS AND ATTORNEY-COACHES: Your participation, through the contribution of time and talent, is an essential element to the continuing success of the Indiana High School Mock Trial program. The Foundation has a mission for students in the Mock Trial program to emerge from the Competition with stronger advocacy skills, more confidence, competence, and respect. Therefore, you are strongly encouraged to focus students on the goal of participation and education.

The Competition is governed by the Indiana High School Mock Trial Rules of Competition (“Rules of Competition”) and the Indiana High School Mock Trial Rules of Evidence (“Rules of Evidence”). Both the Rules of Competition and the Rules of Evidence are based, primarily, on their counterparts in the National High School Mock Trial program. However, both have been adapted to meet the needs of the Indiana High School Mock Trial program. All teams are responsible for the conduct of persons associated with their teams throughout the Competition.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RULES OF THE COMPETITION

ARTICLE I: ADMINISTRATION	1
Rule 1.1 Rules	1
Rule 1.2 Code of Conduct	1
Rule 1.3 Emergencies	1
Rule 1.4 Regional Competitions	2
Rule 1.5 State Finals	2
ARTICLE II: THE CASE	2
Rule 2.1 The Problem	2
Rule 2.2 Case Background	3
Rule 2.3 Pleadings	3
Rule 2.4 Stipulations	3
Rule 2.5 Witnesses Bound by Statements	3
Rule 2.6 Unfair Extrapolations	3
Rule 2.7 Gender of Witnesses	4
Rule 2.8 Applicable Law	4
Rule 2.9 Voir Dire	4
ARTICLE III: TEAMS	4
Rule 3.1 Team Composition	4
Rule 3.2 Team Eligibility	5
Rule 3.3 Student Timekeepers	5
Rule 3.4 Team Names	5
Rule 3.5 Registration	5
Rule 3.6 Drop Deadline	6
Rule 3.7 Team Responsibilities	6
Rule 3.8 Special Needs Accommodations	6
ARTICLE IV: THE TRIAL	7
Rule 4.1 Team Roster Form	7
Rule 4.2 Score Sheets	7
Rule 4.3 Preliminary Matters	7
Rule 4.4 Swearing in of Witnesses	7
Rule 4.5 Trial Sequence and Time Limits	7
Rule 4.6 Timekeeping	8
Rule 4.7 Time Extensions and Scoring	9
Rule 4.8 Motions Prohibited	9
Rule 4.9 Sequestration	9
Rule 4.10 Bench Conferences	9
Rule 4.11 Exhibits; Supplemental Material; Costuming	9
Rule 4.12 Communication during Competition	10

Rule 4.13	Viewing a Trial	10
Rule 4.14	Videotaping/Photography	10
Rule 4.15	Student Attorney Responsibilities	11
Rule 4.16	Standing During Trial	11
Rule 4.17	Calling Witnesses	11
Rule 4.18	Objections during Opening and Closing Statements	11
Rule 4.19	Objections	11
Rule 4.20	Procedure for Introduction of Exhibits	12
Rule 4.21	Use of Notes	13
Rule 4.22	Redirect/Recross	13
Rule 4.23	Scope of Examinations	13
Rule 4.24	Scope of Closing Arguments	13
Rule 4.25	Offers of Proof	13

ARTICLE V: JUDGING AND TEAM ADVANCEMENT 13

Rule 5.1	Finality of Decisions	13
Rule 5.2	Composition of Judging Panel	13
Rule 5.3	Judge Responsibilities	14
Rule 5.4	Score Sheets/Ballots	14
Rule 5.5	Completion of Score Sheets	15
Rule 5.6	Team Advancement	15
Rule 5.7	Power-Matching/Seeding	15
Rule 5.8	Selection of Sides for Championship Trial	16
Rule 5.9	Odd Number of Teams Participating in a Competition	17

ARTICLE VI: DISPUTE RESOLUTION 17

Rule 6.1	Reporting a Rules Violation (Inside the Bar)	17
Rule 6.2	Dispute Resolution Procedure	17
Rule 6.3	Effect of Violation on Score	18
Rule 6.4	Reporting a Rules Violation (Outside the Bar)	18

SCORING MATRIX 19

WHAT TO LOOK FOR WHEN SCORING TEAMS 20

INDIANA HIGH SCHOOL MOCK TRIAL

RULES OF COMPETITION

ARTICLE I: ADMINISTRATION

Rule 1.1. Rules

All trials will be governed by the Rules of Competition and the Rules of Evidence, adapted from the Federal Rules of Evidence for the Indiana High School Mock Trial program. The Rules of Competition and Rules of Evidence were approved by the Foundation's Board of Directors, which has delegated administration of the Rules and the program, generally, to the Mock Trial Advisory Committee ("Committee").

The Committee is a committee of the Foundation. A primary purpose of the Committee is to interpret the Rules of Competition both before and after competitions.

The Foundation and the Committee will designate a Competition Director for each Regional Competition and the State Finals, who will be the final arbiter on rules interpretations during a competition.

Questions or interpretations of these rules are within the discretion of the Committee and Competition Director(s), whose decisions are final. At its sole discretion, the Foundation's Executive Committee may determine whether the Rules of Competition were interpreted or administered incorrectly.

Rule 1.2. Code of Conduct

The Rules of Competition, as well as proper rules of courthouse and courtroom decorum and security, must be followed. The Committee and Competition Directors possess discretion to impose sanctions, including but not limited to disqualification, immediate eviction from the Competition, and forfeiture of all fees and awards (if applicable) for any misconduct relating to the Competition, for flagrant rule violations, and/or for breaches of decorum which affect the conduct of a trial or which impugn the reputation or integrity of any team, school, participant, court officer, judge, or the Mock Trial program. Moreover, the Committee and any Mock Trial judge possess discretion to deduct points from any team that, in the opinion of the Committee, Competition Directors, and/or judge(s), acts in a way that is contrary to the spirit of the Rules of Competition.

Participants in the Competition shall not have a cell phone in their possession while competing in a trial. The only exception is that a timekeeper may use his/her cell phone for time keeping purposes only. Participants and guests need to be aware that some Courts will not allow any cell phones to be brought into the Court building. Students, coaches, and observers are expected to comply with the policies of the facilities they are utilizing.

Rule 1.3. Emergencies

During a trial, the presiding judge shall have discretion to declare an emergency and adjourn the trial for a short period of time to address the emergency. In the event of an emergency during a round of competition that would cause a team to be unable to continue a trial or to participate with less than six members, the team must notify the Competition Director as soon as is reasonably practical. Under these circumstances, a team may participate in the Regional Competition or the State Finals with less than six members. In the event a team is participating with less than six members, the team must use three students as witnesses and two students as attorneys.

In the case of an emergency not occurring during a round of competition that results in a team having less than six members available for one or more rounds of the competition, the Committee or its designee(s), in its sole discretion, may determine whether the team can participate in the Competition and the circumstances under which the team may participate (including but not limited to forfeiture, reduction of points, or advancement).

If the Committee, or its designee(s), in its sole discretion, agrees that an emergency exists, the Committee, or its designee(s), shall declare an emergency and will decide whether the team will forfeit or may direct that the team take appropriate measures to continue any trial round with less than six members. A penalty may be assessed. A forfeiting team will receive a Trial Loss and the average number of the Ballots and points received by the losing teams in that round. The non-forfeiting team will receive a Trial Win and an average number of Ballots and points received by the winning teams in that round.

Final determination of emergency, forfeiture, reduction of points, or advancement, will be made by the Committee. The Committee's determination shall be final and not appealable.

Rule 1.4. Regional Competitions

Regional Competitions will be held in Evansville, Hammond, Indianapolis, and South Bend.

Generally, a team will compete in the Regional Competition where they are geographically located. In the event, however, that the Committee, in its sole discretion, elects to have a minimum number of teams in a particular Regional Competition ("Subject Regional Competition") and that minimum number is not reached through registration, or in the event that an uneven number exists in multiple Regions creating potential byes, then teams from other geographical regions may be invited to compete in the Subject Regional Competition. If a sufficient number of teams do not volunteer to compete in the Subject Regional Competition or if there is an odd number of teams, then the Committee, at its sole discretion, may require one or more teams to move to the Subject Regional Competition. In such event, the Committee shall provide the team with at least seven days' notice.

24 teams from the Regional Competitions will advance to the State Finals. 20 teams will automatically advance from Regional Competitions to the State Finals, based on proportional representation (i.e. Regional Competitions with more teams receive more automatic bids to State). The Committee shall also select four "Wild Card" teams to advance to the State Finals, based on those teams' performances at their Regional Competitions. The four Wild Card teams do not have to come from each Regional. The Committee may consider a variety of factors when choosing the Wild Cards, including, but not limited to: the team's overall record, Total Points, the strength of the opponents it faced, the strength of the Regional it competed in, etc.

Rule 1.5. State Finals

The State Finals will be held in Indianapolis. There will be four rounds of competition (trials), after which the top two teams will compete in the State Championship Trial. The winner of the State Championship Trial will advance to represent the State of Indiana in the National High School Mock Trial Championship in May.

ARTICLE II: THE CASE

Rule 2.1. The Problem

The problem will be a fact pattern which may contain any or all of the following: statement of facts,

indictment, case background, pleadings, stipulations, witness statements/affidavits, jury charges, exhibits, and applicable law. Witness statements may not be altered.

The case shall consist of three witnesses per side, all of whom shall have names and characteristics that would allow them to be played by either males or females. All three of the witnesses must be called.

Rule 2.2. Case Background

The case background, which generally includes a brief summary of the problem, a list of witnesses, and a list of exhibits, is provided for background purposes only and cannot be used as evidence in the case.

Rule 2.3. Pleadings

The pleadings, generally a complaint and an answer, form the legal structure of the case. An allegation contained in a complaint that is admitted in an answer is deemed to be established and cannot be denied by any witness.

Rule 2.4. Stipulations

Stipulations are points that have been agreed to by both parties. No witness may contradict or deny knowledge of the facts contained in the Stipulations. Stipulations will be considered to be part of the record and already admitted into evidence.

Rule 2.5. Witnesses Bound by Statements

Each witness is bound by the facts contained in his/her own witness statement, the Statement of Facts, if present, and/or any necessary documentation (such as exhibits and stipulations) relevant to his/her testimony. A witness is not bound by facts contained in the statements of other witnesses. Witness statements may not be altered.

Rule 2.6. Unfair Extrapolation

Attorneys may not ask questions of a witness that call for information outside the scope of the case materials.

- a. If a witness is asked for information not contained in the witness' statement, the answer must be consistent with the statement and may not materially affect the witness' testimony or any substantive issue in the case.
- b. Provided reasonable inference may be made from a witness statement, fair extrapolations may be allowed. A fair extrapolation is one that is neutral and that does not materially affect the witness testimony and/or result in an unfair competitive advantage.
- c. Attorneys shall not ask questions calling for unfair extrapolations. An unfair extrapolation is one that is not neutral and that materially affects the witness' testimony and/or results in an unfair competitive advantage.
- d. If, in direct examination, an attorney asks a question which calls for extrapolated information pivotal to the facts at issue, the information is subject to impeachment or the objection of "unfair extrapolation."
 1. Unfair extrapolations are best attacked through impeachment and closing arguments and are to be dealt with in the course of the trial.

2. Attorneys for the opposing team may refer to this Rule in a special objection such as, “unfair extrapolation” or “This information is beyond the scope of the case materials.”

When an attorney objects to an extrapolation, the judge shall rule in open court to clarify the course of further proceedings. Possible rulings by the judge include:

- a) No extrapolation has occurred.
- b) An unfair extrapolation has occurred.
- c) The extrapolation was fair; or
- d) The objection will be taken under advisement.

The decision of the presiding judge regarding extrapolations or evidentiary matters is final.

- e. If, in cross-examination, an attorney asks for unknown information, the witness may respond, so long as any response is consistent with the witness’ statement or affidavit and does not materially affect the witness’ testimony.

Rule 2.7. Gender of Witnesses

All witnesses are intended to be gender neutral. Personal pronoun changes in witness statements indicating gender of the characters may be deemed to have been made so as to conform to the gender or gender election of the student playing the witness. Any student may portray the role of any witness in accordance with the gender indicated in their team’s roster and make use of the preferred pronoun announced by the student’s team in their pre-trial matters.

Rule 2.8. Applicable Law

Legal arguments are to be based on the Applicable Law as provided in the case materials. No additional research or legal citations shall be used in the trial.

Rule 2.9. Voir Dire

Voir dire examination of a witness is not permitted.

ARTICLE III: TEAMS

Rule 3.1. Team Composition

Teams shall consist of no less than six and no more than ten members.

Only six of the members will participate in any given round as attorneys and witnesses. Teams are not required to use the same six students for each round. A student, however, may only be a member of one team during a Regional Competition.

Teams are not required to use the same six students from a team that advanced from the Regional Competition at the State Finals. A school receiving one or more bids to advance to the State Finals may, if they so choose, use any of their bids to the State Finals by entering an “all-star” team from that school. A

student, however, may only be a member of one team during the State Finals. (Note that the National Competition limits team size to nine members, one being a timekeeper.)

Generally, teams will be composed of students currently enrolled in the same high school. There are, however, limited exceptions:

- a. A community team may compete if that team is made up of students from a single high school or multiple high schools that do not sponsor a Mock Trial team.
- b. Students who are home schooled may compose a team with other home school students or may join a local high school team.
- c. A student or students from a high school that does sponsor one or more teams may combine with another high school team or a community team if there are insufficient spots on the team that the student(s)'s school is sponsoring.

Any exceptions to the general policy (such as a, b, or c above) must be approved by the Committee one month prior to the Regional Competition in which the team wishes to compete.

Rule 3.2. Team Eligibility

There is no limit to the number of teams a school may enter in the Competition. Likewise, there is no limitation on the number of teams from any school that may advance to the State Finals.

The Competition is only open to high school students, ninth through 12th grade.

Students and teams may only participate in one Regional Competition.

Rule 3.3. Student Timekeepers

In addition to the six team members participating as attorneys and witnesses, each team must provide a student timekeeper for each trial. Parents, teachers, and or coaches are not permitted to serve as the timekeeper. The timekeeper may be a student who is not one of the official team members, but the timekeeper may not be a student from another team. See Rules 4.5 – 4.7 for further information on Timekeeping.

Rule 3.4. Team Names

Each team participating in the Competition shall provide a Team Name at the time of registration. The Team Name must be limited to no more than three words, and a total of 15 letters, including spaces and other characters. The Team Name may not identify in any way the school name, mascot, or other identifying information relating to the school. Each team's association with a particular school is not to be communicated or made known during the Competition. The Committee reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any proposed Team Name.

Rule 3.5. Registration

Each participating school must submit a School Registration Form provided on the Foundation's Mock Trial page (<http://inbf.org/educational-programs/mock-trial>). The registration fee shall be \$200 for each team registered by a school, or \$100 for each team registered by a school that is either new to the Mock Trial program or has not participated in the previous three years.

Each participating team from a school must submit a Team Registration Form provided on the Foundation's Mock Trial page (<http://inbf.org/educational-programs/mock-trial>).

If either a school or a team registers after the registration deadline, then the fee shall be an additional \$50. The Committee reserves the right to decline late registrants if it will create an uneven number of teams at a Regional Competition.

Rule 3.6. Drop Deadline

Schools that drop a team after the drop deadline will not be entitled to any refund of their registration fees. Teams should anticipate the possibility of illness or conflicts of schedules for participants and have alternates available. Only under extreme emergency circumstances will the Committee make exceptions to this rule.

Rule 3.7. Team Responsibilities

a. Attorneys and Witnesses

Teams must present both the Prosecution/Plaintiff and the Defense/Defendant sides of the case, using six team members in each trial round. For each trial round, three members will participate as attorneys and three members will participate as witnesses. The distribution of the roles among the team members is left to the discretion of the team coach(es).

b. Volunteer Judges

Each team is required to provide a judge for each round of Competition that team is participating. The Volunteer Judge Form must be fully completed and submitted by the deadline as posted on the Foundation's Mock Trial website (<http://inbf.org/educational-programs/mock-trial>).

Attorneys, judges, law students, and/or community members or teachers may be designated as volunteer judges. Additionally, a college student with extensive Mock Trial experience, who has been out of high school for three or more years, may be designated as a volunteer judge. Extensive Mock Trial experience means the student has participated in a high school or college Mock Trial program for at least four years. Coaches or teachers for any school participating in the Competition may be designated as a team's judge for no more than one round per competition.

If a school or team has recruited any extra judges in one round of the Competition, the school or team cannot count the extra judges for that particular round to meet its obligations of designating a judge for any other round. Similarly, if a school has received permission to compete in more than one Regional Competition location, extra volunteer judges obtained in one Regional Competition cannot carry over to other Regionals.

Rule 3.8. Special Needs Accommodations

It is the policy and practice of the Foundation, the Committee, and the Indiana High School Mock Trial program to provide reasonable accommodations to those students who need special accommodations. The Committee is committed to treating all individuals in the program in a fair and equitable manner. A student or a teacher or coach (acting on behalf of a student) who believes he or she requires special accommodations should contact the State Coordinator no later than two weeks before any competition to make arrangements for those accommodations.

ARTICLE IV: THE TRIAL

Rule 4.1. Team Roster Form

Copies of the Team Roster Form, available on the Foundation's Mock Trial page (<http://inbf.org/educational-programs/mock-trial>), must be prepared in-advance of each competition, and be typed, or neatly printed, designating the roles which will be played by each individual on the team. The form should identify the gender of each witness so that references to such parties will be made in the proper gender. Teams must be identified by their Team Name. No information identifying the team's school should appear on the form. If a school has more than one team, it must have a separate Team Roster Form for each team.

Copies of the Team Roster Form for both the Prosecution/Plaintiff and Defense/Defendant sides must be completed and duplicated by each team prior to arrival at the competition site. Before beginning a trial, the teams must exchange copies of the Team Roster Form. Sufficient copies of the Team Roster Form may be made available to the judging panel and presiding judge before each round, if requested by the judge(s).

Rule 4.2. Score Sheets

Prior to trial, each team shall complete three score sheets, provided by the Foundation, by including the names of each student participating as an attorney in the trial and his/her role and by including the names of each student acting as a witness participating in the trial and his/her role. The witness list shall be completed in the order the witnesses will be called during the trial.

Rule 4.3. Preliminary Matters

At the outset of the trial, the Presiding Judge will ask if there are any preliminary matters to be addressed and will seek consent from the teams for photography and recording. The Presiding Judge will request that any individual in the courtroom who is not affiliated with one of the two teams competing will identify themselves so that the judge or a team may inquire whether they are affiliated with another team or school. Preliminary matters may include, a request that the attorneys be allowed to move freely about the courtroom. See Rule 4.14 for more information on photography and recording.

No witness may contradict or deny knowledge of the facts contained in the Stipulations. Stipulations will be considered to be part of the record and already admitted into evidence. The complaint, indictment, stipulations, and/or the Jury Charge shall not be read into the record.

Rule 4.4. Swearing in of Witnesses

The Presiding Judge will either:

- a. Swear in all witnesses using the following (or something similar): "Do you promise that the testimony you are about to give will faithfully and truthfully conform to the facts and rules of the Mock Trial Competition?"; or
- b. State that all witnesses are assumed to be sworn for purposes of the Competition.

Rule 4.5. Trial Sequence and Time Limits

The Presiding Judge will declare the beginning of the trial for timekeeping purposes. The trial sequence and time limits are as follows:

Plaintiff/Prosecution's Opening Statement	5 minutes
Defense/Defendant's Opening Statement	5 minutes
Plaintiff/Prosecution's Case: Plaintiff/Prosecution Direct and Redirect of witness #1 Defense/Defendant Cross and Re-cross of witness #1 Plaintiff/Prosecution Direct and Redirect of witness #2 Defense/Defendant Cross and Re-cross of witness #2 Plaintiff/Prosecution Direct and Redirect of witness #3 Defense/Defendant Cross and Re-cross of witness #3	Plaintiff/Prosecution shall have a total of 25 minutes Defense/Defendant shall have a total of 20 minutes
Defense/Defendant's Case: Defense/Defendant Direct and Redirect of witness #1 Plaintiff/Prosecution Cross and Re-cross of witness #1 Defense/Defendant Direct and Redirect of witness #2 Plaintiff/Prosecution Cross and Re-cross of witness #2 Defense/Defendant Direct and Redirect of witness #3 Plaintiff/Prosecution Cross and Re-cross of witness #3	Defense/Defendant shall have a total of 25 minutes Plaintiff/Prosecution shall have a total of 20 minutes.
Plaintiff/Prosecution's Closing Argument	Up to 5 minutes
Defense/Defendant Closing Argument	5 minutes
Plaintiff/Prosecution's Rebuttal* *Rebuttal is limited to the scope of Defense/Defendant closing argument.	Balance of 5 minutes not used in Plaintiff/Prosecution's Closing Argument
Total Time for the Competition Round (started when the Presiding Judge declares)	3 hours maximum trial time

Attorneys are not required to use the entire time allotted to each part of the trial. Time remaining in one part of the trial may not be transferred to another part of the trial.

Each trial round is required to be completed within three hours. If a round exceeds three hours, the scores for both teams for that round will not be counted in the Competition rankings.

Rule 4.6. Timekeeping

Each team is required to provide one student who will serve as the official timekeeper for that team. This timekeeper must meet the requirements of Rule 3.3. The timekeeper should be equipped with either a stopwatch or a cell phone to use for timekeeping purposes only. The timekeeper is responsible for fairly and accurately keeping and reporting the time during the trial and during any disputes under Rule 6.2. During the rounds of the Competition, a timekeeper is to act as a neutral entity. A timekeeper is not to communicate with his/her teammates during the course of the trial or during any recesses, except to display the time remaining cards and to indicate (as directed by the presiding judge) how much time is remaining during a particular part of the trial.

Time limits are mandatory and will be enforced.

Time runs from the beginning of the opening statement, witness examination, or closing argument until its conclusion with the following exceptions:

1. Introduction of counsel and/or witnesses prior to the opening statement shall not be included in the time allotted for opening statements. However, if counsel begins an opening statement and then introduces attorneys or witnesses, then the introductions shall be included in the time limit for opening statement.
2. Time for objections, responses to objections, questions from the judge and the judge's ruling will not be counted toward the time limit for either team.

3. Time during questions by the judge and answers to those questions will not be counted toward the time limit for either team.

In trial, the timekeeper shall use a set of time remaining cards with the following designations: 20:00, 15:00, 10:00, 5:00, 4:00, 3:00, 2:00, 1:00, 0:40, 0:20, and "STOP". Modification of intervals is not permitted. Timekeepers should display the applicable "Time Remaining" cards simultaneously.

At the end of each task during the trial presentation (i.e. at the end of each opening, at the end of each witness examination, at the end of each cross examination and at the end of each closing argument) if there is more than a 15 second discrepancy between the teams' timekeepers, the timekeepers must notify the presiding judge of the discrepancy. The presiding judge will then rule on the discrepancy, the timekeepers will synchronize their stopwatches accordingly and the trial will continue. Any discrepancies between timekeepers less than 15 seconds will not be considered. No time disputes will be entertained after the trial concludes. The decisions of the presiding judge regarding the resolution of time disputes are final.

Rule 4.7. Time Extensions and Scoring

The presiding judge has sole discretion to grant time extensions. If, however, time has expired, and an attorney continues (without or without the permission of the presiding judge) then scoring judges may determine, individually, whether or not to discount points for the attorney's performance.

Rule 4.8. Motions Prohibited

Except for a motion to strike testimony of a witness as following a successful objection to its admission, no motions shall be made. For example, there shall be no motions for directed verdict, acquittal, or dismissal. Additionally, a motion for recess may be made in the event of an emergency (i.e. health emergency). To the greatest extent possible, team members are to remain in place. Should a recess be called, teams are not to communicate with any observers, time keepers, coaches, or instructors regarding the trial.

Rule 4.9. Sequestration

There is no sequestration of witnesses.

Rule 4.10. Bench Conferences

In the educational interest of handling all matters in open court, there shall be no bench conferences.

Rule 4.11. Exhibits; Supplemental Material; Costuming

Teams may refer only to materials included in the case materials. No illustrative aids of any kind may be used, unless provided in the case materials. No enlargements of the case materials will be permitted. Absolutely no props or costumes are permitted unless authorized specifically in the case materials. Costuming is defined as hairstyles, clothing, accessories, and make-up which are case-specific. An accent is not considered costuming.

The student playing the witness is allowed to act as though she/he is afflicted with any condition, deformity, or disability described in the affidavits. Under no circumstances is the opposing team permitted to question the existence of such conditions based on the fact that the student playing the witness does not actually have them. While the opposing team may cross examine the witness on the extent of the condition, based on information provided in the affidavits, the opposing team may not challenge the witness to prove the existence of the condition by asking him/her to show it to the jury.

The only documents which the teams may present to the presiding judge or scoring panel are the individual exhibits as they are introduced into evidence and the Team Roster Forms. No Roster Forms may be altered except to provide the information requested. Exhibit notebooks are not to be provided to the presiding judge or scoring panel. No exhibits may be modified before the trial, but attorneys and witnesses may mark exhibits during direct or cross examination. Such marked documents may be used as demonstrative exhibits during the trial and during closing arguments, but may not be entered into evidence. If a team wishes to mark an exhibit entered by the opposing team, it must substitute its own clean copy of that exhibit for this purpose before any markings are made. Name tags or name plates at counsel table are not permitted.

Rule 4.12. Communication during Competition

Instructors, coaches, alternates, and observers shall not talk to, signal, communicate with, or coach their teams during trial. This rule remains in force during any emergency or recess in a trial. Team members may, among themselves, communicate during the trial; however, no disruptive communication is allowed. Signaling of time by the teams' timekeepers shall not be considered a violation of this rule.

Coaches, teachers, alternates, and observers must remain outside the bar in the spectator section of the courtroom. Only team members participating in the trial may sit inside the bar and communicate with each other. Instructors, coaches, alternates, and observers may only communicate or coach their teams between trials, and not during trials.

Rule 4.13. Viewing a Trial

Team members, alternates, attorney-coaches, faculty sponsors, and any other persons directly associated with a Mock Trial team, except for those authorized by the Committee, are not allowed to view other teams in Competition so long as their team remains in the Competition. Persons with extenuating circumstances (such as a team coach who also has a family member participating on a different team) must get the Committee's prior consent for an exception to this Rule.

No person shall display anything that identifies their school during the Competition.

Any violations of this rule may subject the team to forfeiture in the Competition. This rule does not apply to a faculty sponsor or an attorney-coach who judges a round in the Competition. However, that faculty sponsor or attorney-coach shall not share any comments about the teams he/she judges with his/her team.

Rule 4.14. Videotaping/Photography

A trial may only be photographed, videotaped, recorded or otherwise memorialized (collectively "Recording") with the permission of both teams and the presiding judge. Any team may decline to participate in the Recording, in which case there shall be no Recording. A Recording may not be used to gain insights into other teams' strategies, themes, style, etc. If the teams consent to Recording, they will affirm during Preliminary Matters that it will not be used to gain insights into another team's strategies, themes, style, etc. A team Recording (either through team members or others) without the permission of the other team and the presiding judge may be disqualified from the Competition.

This rule shall not apply to still photographs taken on behalf of the Committee or the Foundation. All teams are deemed to have consented to such photographs.

In the Championship Round, a team may not refuse to be videotaped, tape recorded, live streamed, or photographed by the Foundation.

Rule 4.15. Student Attorney Responsibilities

Each of the three student attorneys shall conduct one direct examination and one cross examination. One of the three attorneys will present the opening statement and another of the three attorneys will present the closing argument. The same attorney may not present both the opening statement and the closing argument.

The attorney who examines a particular witness on direct examination is the only person who may respond to objections by the opposing attorney during direct examination and is the only attorney who may make the objections to the opposing attorney's questions of that witness' cross examination.

The attorney who cross-examines a witness is the only person permitted to make objections during the direct examination of that witness. However, the attorney whose duty it is to make or respond to objections may consult with the other attorneys on his/her team (except teacher/attorney coaches).

Rule 4.16. Standing During Trial

Unless a student is physically unable to stand or is excused by the presiding judge, attorneys will stand while giving opening and closing arguments, during direct and cross examinations, and for all objections.

Rule 4.17. Calling Witnesses

Each team must call all three of its witnesses.

A team may not treat one of its own witnesses as a hostile witness, unless otherwise allowed for in the case materials.

Witnesses may not be recalled by any team.

Expert witnesses shall not be "tendered" to the Court as expert witnesses.

Rule 4.18. Objections During Opening and Closing Statements

No objections may be raised during opening or closing statements.

If an attorney giving an opening statement believes an objection would have been appropriate during the opposing team's opening, then the attorney, after the opening is completed may say: "If I had been permitted to object during the opening statement, I would have objected to the statement that ____ because ____." The same procedure may be used for closing arguments.

No rebuttal will be heard, and the presiding judge will not rule on this "objection." The scoring judges may weigh the "objection" in their scoring.

Rule 4.19. Objections

Teams are to use the Rules of Evidence, as adopted by the Committee, for the Competition. Additionally, attorneys can make the following objections:

- a. Argumentative Questions: An attorney shall not ask argumentative questions.
- b. Lack of Proper Predicate/Foundation: Attorneys shall lay a proper foundation prior to moving the admission of evidence. After the exhibit has been offered into evidence, the exhibit may still be objected to on other grounds.

- c. Assuming Facts Not in Evidence: Attorneys may not ask a question that assumes unproved facts. However, an expert witness may be asked a question based upon stated assumptions, the truth of which is reasonably supported by evidence (sometimes called a “hypothetical question”).
- d. Questions Calling for Narrative or General Answer: Questions must be stated so as to call for a specific answer. (Example of improper question: “Tell us what you know about this case.”)
- e. Non-Responsive Answer: A witness’ answer is objectionable if it fails to respond to the question asked.
- f. Repetition: Questions designed to elicit the same testimony or evidence previously presented in its entirety are improper if merely offered as a repetition of the same testimony or evidence from the same or similar source.

Teams are not precluded from raising additional objections that are available under the Rules of Evidence.

Rule 4.20. Procedure for Introduction of Exhibits

The following is an example of the steps for attorneys to effectively introduce an exhibit into evidence:

1. All evidence will be pre-marked as exhibits.
2. Ask for permission to approach the witness: “Your Honor, may I approach the witness with what has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit # ___?”
3. Show the proposed exhibit to opposing counsel.
4. Hand the proposed exhibit to the witness.
5. Ask the witness to identify the exhibit: “I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit # ___ for identification. Would you please identify this exhibit?”
6. Have the witness identify the exhibit. Witness should answer to identify only.
7. Ask the witness a series of questions that are offered for proof of the admissibility of the proposed exhibit. These questions lay the foundation or predicate for admissibility, including questions of relevance and materiality of the exhibit.
8. Offer the proposed exhibit into evidence: “Your Honor, we offer Exhibit # ___ into evidence at this time. The authenticity of this exhibit has been stipulated.

The presiding judge will then ask opposing counsel if there is any objection. If opposing counsel believes that a proper foundation has not been laid, or that there is another objection to introduction of the proposed exhibit into evidence, then those objections should be raised at this time. If an objection is raised, then the attorney offering the exhibit into evidence will be given an opportunity to respond to the objection. The presiding judge will then rule on whether or not the proposed exhibit is admitted into evidence.

If admitted, the exhibit may be used by counsel. If not admitted, the exhibit may not be used by counsel. If the presiding judge does not allow the exhibit into evidence, counsel may lay additional foundation or attempt to overcome the objections raised and re-introduce the proposed exhibit.

Rule 4.21. Use of Notes

Attorneys may use notes in presenting their cases. However, generally, attorneys score higher when not relying upon their notes. Attorneys may consult with each other at counsel table verbally or through the use of notes.

Witnesses are not permitted to use notes while testifying during the trial.

The use of laptops or other electronic devices is prohibited in the courtroom, except for timekeeping purposes, as provided under Rule 4.6.

Rule 4.22. Redirect/Recross

Redirect and Recross examinations are permitted, provided they conform to the restrictions in Rule 611(d) in the Rules of Evidence.

Rule 4.23. Scope of Examinations

The scope of cross-examination is not limited to the scope of direct examination. However, the scope of redirect is limited to the scope of cross, and the scope of recross is limited to the scope of redirect. There shall be no re-redirect or re-recross examinations.

Rule 4.24. Scope of Closing Arguments

Closing Arguments must be based on the actual evidence and testimony presented during the trial.

Rule 4.25. Offers of Proof

No offers of proof may be requested or tendered.

ARTICLE V: JUDGES AND TEAM ADVANCEMENT

Rule 5.1. Finality of Decisions

All decisions of judges are FINAL.

Rule 5.2. Composition of Judging Panel

The judging panel will consist of at least two individuals. The composition of the judging panel and the role of the presiding judge will be at the discretion of the Committee or Competition Director, with the same format (in terms of number of scoresheets) to be used throughout that particular competition, as follows (in descending order of preference):

- a. Three Score Sheets (preferred method):
 1. One presiding judge and three scoring judges (only scoring judges complete score sheets); or
 2. One presiding judge and two scoring judges (all three of whom complete score sheets); or

b. Two Score Sheets (if necessary)

1. One presiding judge and two scoring judges (only scoring judges complete score sheets); or
2. One presiding judge and one scoring judge (both of whom complete score sheets).

Only attorneys will be designated as presiding judges. Scoring judges may be non-attorney teachers or community members, or college students with substantial Mock Trial experience (See Rule 3.7(b)). Moreover, only one non-attorney may be on any panel of judges for a trial.

At the discretion of the Foundation, the Championship Trial may have a number of judges that does not conform to any of the formats listed above.

In the event of an emergency (i.e., sudden illness, etc.), if a judging panel member must leave the courtroom, the presiding judge will call for a brief recess, assess whether the judging panel member will be able to return in a reasonably short period of time, and then resume the proceedings upon the panel member's return to the courtroom. If the panel member is unable to return to the courtroom, the Competition Director must be informed. Once the panel composition is adjusted by the Competition Director to best meet the requirements of the Rules of Competition, then the round should continue. During any recess under this rule, the teams, whenever possible, should remain seated in their appropriate positions within the courtroom until the round resumes.

Rule 5.3. Judge Responsibilities

All volunteer judges must timely report to the judges' orientation for the judge's assigned round. If a team's designated volunteer judge is unable to judge for any round designated, the team is responsible for finding a replacement judge for that round. If a team does not have a volunteer to judge a competition per these rules, it is subject to forfeiture for any round it does not have a volunteer judge.

Rule 5.4. Score Sheets/Ballots

The term "Score Sheets" is used in reference to the forms on which judges record the score of each participant/role in the Mock Trial. Scoring judges are not bound by the rulings of the presiding judge. Score Sheets are to be completed individually by the judges. Judges shall not deliberate between themselves regarding individual scores.

The term "Ballots" refers to a judge's determination of the winning team. The team that earns the highest number of points on a judge's Score Sheet is determined to be the winner of that judge's Ballot. The team that wins the majority of the Ballots shall be determined to be the winner of the Trial.

The judges shall, collectively, determine the Best Witness and the Best Attorney for the trial. The decision must be by consensus or majority vote.

Judges may not inform the students of their scores, Ballot results, or the winner of the Trial. After the trial, however, the judges are allowed a total of five minutes for "debriefing" as long as the judges' comments do not reflect which team the judges determined to be the winner of the Trial. At this time, the judges shall announce the students who received the Best Witness and Best Attorney awards for that trial.

For the State Championship Trial, the winning team will be the team that receives the majority of the Ballots from the scoring judges. The number of scoring judges in the State Championship Trial shall be at the sole discretion of the Foundation. Likewise, whether the presiding judge at the State Championship Trial is also a scoring judge will be at the sole discretion of the Foundation.

Rule 5.5. Completion of Score Sheets

At the end of each trial, including the Championship Trial, each scoring judge shall record a number of points (1-10 scale based on the criteria set forth in the *Scoring Matrix* and *What to Look for When Scoring Teams*) for each attorney and witness role in the trial. All scores must be whole numbers. The individual performance scores for each team are added to determine the Total Points for the team. No ties are allowed in the total Points Boxes on the Score sheets. Each scoring judge must designate (with a checkmark in the appropriate box on the Score Sheet) one team as the team that won the round.

In the event of a mathematical error in tabulation by the scoring judges, which, when corrected results in a tie in the Total Points box, the Tiebreaker Box shall determine which team is awarded the Ballot.

Rule 5.6. Team Advancement

a. Using Three Score Sheets

If a competition uses three scoring judges (under Rule 5.2), teams will be ranked based on the following criteria in the order listed:

1. Trial Wins
2. Ballots
3. Total (cumulative) Points
4. Point Differential

b. Using Two Score Sheets

If a competition uses two scoring judges (under Rule 5.2), teams will be ranked based on the following criteria in the order listed:

1. Ballots
2. Total (cumulative) Points
3. Point Differential

Rule 5.7. Power-Matching/Seeding

A random method of selection will determine opponents in the first round of the Regional Competitions and the State Finals. Team names will be placed in a hat and drawn live, in the presence of the teams, in an Opening Ceremony. A power-match system will be used by the Committee and/or Competition Director to determine opponents for all rounds after the first round.

The 20 teams with the strongest record (according to Rule 5.6) from the Regional Competitions will automatically advance to the State Finals. The Committee will select four additional Wild Card teams who will also advance to the State Finals. The two teams emerging with the strongest record from four rounds of the State Finals will advance to the Championship Trial. The winner of the Championship Trial will be the State Champion and represent Indiana at the National High School Mock Trial Championship in May.

Power-Matching will provide that:

1. At Regionals, all teams are guaranteed to present each side of the case at least once. At the State Finals, all teams are guaranteed to present each side of the case twice.
2. Teams generally will not meet the same opponent twice in a competition.
3. Pairings for Round 1 will be random and will be conducted live, in the presence of the teams, before the round begins.
4. Teams will be ranked according to one of the methods selected under Rules 5.2 and 5.6.
5. In Round 2, teams that played Plaintiff/Prosecution in Round 1 will be ranked (from top to bottom) as will the teams that played Defense/Defendant. Except as necessary to avoid having the same teams meet for a second time, the highest ranked Plaintiff/Prosecution team (from Round 1) will play the highest ranked Defense/Defendant team (from Round 1) in Round 2. Both teams will perform the opposite side (P/D) as that presented in Round 1. The second ranked teams play each other (switching sides), and so on through the bottom ranked teams.
6. In Round 3, all teams are ranked (from top to bottom). Except as necessary to avoid having the same teams meet for a second time, the highest ranked team will play the second highest ranked team, the third will play the fourth, and so on until all teams are paired. To determine which team plays Plaintiff/Prosecution and which team plays Defense/Defendant:
 - a. Once paired, the teams will be assigned to a courtroom.
 - b. The names of both teams will be placed in an envelope that corresponds with the assigned courtroom.
 - c. Before the round begins, one team name will be drawn from the envelope, live and in the presence of the teams.
 - d. The team whose name is drawn will present Plaintiff/Prosecution in Round 3.

Note: During Regional Competitions, this will be the final step in ranking/matching.

7. During Round 4 of the State Finals, teams that played Plaintiff/Prosecution in Round 3 will be ranked (1-12) as will the teams that played Defense/Defendant. Except as necessary to avoid having the same teams meet for a second time, the Plaintiff/Prosecution team (from Round 3) with the highest overall ranking (under Rule 5.6) will play the highest ranked Defense/Defendant team (from Round 3) in Round 4. Both teams will perform the opposite side (P/D) as that presented in Round 3. The second ranked teams play each other (switching sides), and so on through the bottom ranked teams.
8. After Round 4 of the State Finals, all teams will have played both sides twice. Teams will be ranked (1-24). The top two ranked teams (under Rule 5.6) will play in the Championship Trial.

Rule 5.8. Selection of Sides for Championship Trial

The top ranked team of the two teams competing in the Championship Trial may select which side it plays in the Championship Trial.

If the two teams are tied in every category under Rule 5.6, a coin toss will determine which team will represent which side in the Championship Trial, according to the following procedure:

- a. The team that is first alphabetically shall select “heads” or “tails.”
- b. The team who wins the toss shall select the side they wish to present.

Rule 5.9. Odd Number of Teams Participating in a Competition

The Committee attempts to avoid byes, but a “bye” may be necessary when an odd number of teams are present for the tournament. For the purpose of advancement and seeding, the Competition Director has full and final discretion on which teams are granted byes and on how the bye teams are scored. The team receiving the bye draw will be informed of the methodology to award Ballots and points to the bye team’s record.

A forfeiting team will receive three losing Ballots and the other team will receive three winning Ballots. The winning team shall receive the average of points received by the winning teams in that round.

With regard to rankings of competing teams, teams will be ranked according to one of the methods selected under Rule 5.6.

ARTICLE VI: DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Rule 6.1. Reporting a Rules Violation (Inside the Bar)

Disputes which occur within the bar (among the students competing in that particular trial) must be reported during that trial round. Disputes should first be brought to the attention of the presiding judge by a student attorney, either during or before the conclusion of the trial. The scoring panel will be excused from the courtroom, and the presiding judge will allow the student attorney to explain the nature of the dispute. The student may communicate with counsel and/or student witnesses. However, at no time in this process may team sponsors or coaches communicate or consult with the student attorneys. Only student attorneys may invoke the dispute procedure.

Rule 6.2. Dispute Resolution Procedure

If an alleged substantial rules violation is presented to the presiding judge, then the presiding judge shall consider the complaint.

- a. If the presiding judge determines that the complaint is not material, then the presiding judge shall inform both teams of his/her decision and the reasons for that decision.
- b. If the presiding judge determines that there is potential merit to the complaint, then he/she shall ask the opposing team to respond to the complaint. After the team has responded, the presiding judge will rule on the complaint.

It is recommended that disputes be settled by the students and presiding judges so that the students can further develop their skills of presentation and effective argument. However, if the dispute is not resolved to the satisfaction of either team, the dispute may be reported exclusively by a faculty sponsor or attorney-coach to the Competition Director, using the Competition Complaint Form, which is available in the scoring room. The Competition Director will rule on the complaint and the his/her ruling will be final.

Rule 6.3. Effect of Violation on Score

If the presiding judge determines that a substantial rules violation has occurred, the presiding judge will inform the scoring judges of the complaint and provide a summary of each team's argument. The judges will consider the dispute before reaching their final decisions. The matter will be left to the discretion of the judges and may or may not impact their scores. The Committee and/or Competition Director may also take action in response to the complaint.

Rule 6.4. Reporting a Rules Violation (Outside the Bar)

Disputes which occur outside the bar (involving people other than student team members) and during a trial round, may be brought by faculty sponsors or attorney-coaches, exclusively. Such disputes must be made promptly to the Competition Director, who will ask the complaining party to complete the Competition Complaint Form. The Competition Director will:

- a. Notify all pertinent parties;
- b. Allow time for a response, if appropriate; and
- c. Rule on the complaint.

The Competition Director's ruling will be final.

SCORING MATRIX

SCORES	ATTORNEYS	WITNESSES
1 – 3 Ineffective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case/rules/legal issues not understood Trial procedures not understood Delivery not persuasive or articulate Script/notes was total relied upon No questions/arguments moved case forward Asked questions intended for an unfair extrapolation No understanding of making/responding to objections No understanding of how to recover from objections Eye contact not made Voice weak, unclear or inaudible Failed to consider the other team’s presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Witness statements and exhibits not understood Responses not thorough, persuasive, or natural Responses not consistent with facts Consistently went materially outside case materials No understanding of how to recover from objections Eye contact not made Voice weak, unclear or inaudible Deliberately attempted to waste opposing counsel’s time Performance was not credible or convincing
4 – 5 Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case/rules/legal issues poorly understood Trial procedures slightly poorly understood Poise and delivery needed work Script/notes was highly depended upon Few questions/arguments moved case forward Asked questions intended for an unfair extrapolation Struggled to make/respond to objections No understanding of how to recover from objections Little eye contact made Voice often difficult to hear Failed to consider the other team’s presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Witnesses statements and exhibits poorly understood Responses felt generic and/or scripted Responses sometimes inconsistent with facts Materially went outside case materials more than once No understanding of how to recover from objections Little eye contact made Voice often difficult to hear Deliberately attempted to waste opposing counsel’s time Performance was passable, lacks depth
6 Average (Proficient)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case/rules/legal issues fairly understood Trial procedures fairly understood Delivery had some hesitation/stumbles Script/notes used occasionally Questions/arguments moved case forward Questions asked called for no unfair extrapolation Missed appropriate opportunities to object Recovered adequately after objections Eye contact maintained some of the time Voice sometimes difficult to hear Minimally responsive to the other team’s presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Witness statements and exhibits fairly understood Performance was somewhat credible and convincing Some responses felt scripted Responses consistent with facts Materially went outside case materials once Recovered adequately after objections Eye contact maintained some of the time when appropriate Voice sometimes difficult to hear Answers most cross questions responsibly
7 – 8 Very Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case/rules/legal issues well understood Trial procedure understanding was very good Delivery was persuasive Script not used, reacts to the moment Notes only used for issues raised during trial Questions/arguments moved case forward Questions asked called for no unfair extrapolation Objections/responses were appropriate Recovered well after objections Eye contact mostly maintained Voice was clear, audible, and confident Adjusted case the other team’s presentation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Witness statements and exhibits well understood Responses mostly felt spontaneous and not memorized Responses consistent with facts Did not materially go outside case materials Rarely went outside scope of case materials Recovered well after objections Eye contact mostly maintained when appropriate Voice was clear, audible, and confident Answers most cross questions responsibly Performance was mostly credible and convincing
9 – 10 Outstanding and Superior	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case/rules/legal issues excellent understanding Trial procedure understanding was superior Delivery was compelling Script not used, reacts to the moment Notes only used for issues raised during trial Questions/arguments were compelling Objections/responses were appropriate and mastered Superior recovery after objections Questions asked called for no unfair extrapolation Eye contact maintained Voice was clear, audible, confident and with conviction Excellent responses to the other team’s presentation Compelling trial presentation Took command of courtroom, but not overbearing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Witness statements and exhibits excellent understanding Performance felt spontaneous and natural Responses consistent with facts Did not materially go outside case materials Superior recovery after objections Eye contact maintained when appropriate Voice was clear, audible, confident and with conviction Answers most cross questions responsibly Took command of courtroom, but not overbearing Performance was compelling

WHAT TO LOOK FOR WHEN SCORING TEAMS

ATTORNEYS

Opening Statement	<p>Provided overview on the witnesses and their testimony, evidence, and how it will prove the case</p> <p>Introduced a theme/theory of the case</p> <p>Outlined the burden of proof</p> <p>Requested relief (what the side is asking the court to decide)</p> <p>Non-argumentative</p>
Direct Examination	<p>Asked properly phrased open-ended questions that allowed explanation or description of the situation</p> <p>Sequenced questions logically</p> <p>Did not ask questions that required any unfair extrapolations</p> <p>Laid foundation for witness testimony</p> <p>Elicited relevant, important evidence from witnesses</p> <p>Continued with consistent theme/theory of the case</p> <p>Provided proper objections during opposing team's cross-examination</p> <p>Utilized objections to move the case forward and not just to throw the other side off their game</p> <p>Made/defended objections utilizing rules of evidence or the rules of the competition</p> <p>Recovered well after objections</p> <p>Adjusted to judges' rulings</p> <p>Addressed actual testimony</p> <p>Followed proper protocol for introducing exhibits</p> <p>Demonstrated an understanding of the rules of competition and evidence</p> <p>Limited re-direct to scope of cross-examination</p> <p>On re-direct, rehabilitated witnesses</p>
Cross Examination	<p>Continued with consistent theme/theory of the case</p> <p>Provided proper objections during opposing team's direct examination</p> <p>Made/defended to objections utilizing rules of evidence or the rules of the competition</p> <p>Utilized objections to move the case forward and not just to throw the other side off their game</p> <p>Recovered well after objections</p> <p>Adjusted to judges' rulings</p> <p>Addressed actual testimony</p> <p>Elicited facts favorable to the attorney's case</p> <p>Asked properly phrased questions that weakened the testimony given during direct examination</p> <p>Used appropriate leading questions suggesting a "yes/no" answer</p> <p>Attempted to appropriately control the witness consistent with the judges' rulings</p> <p>Properly impeached the witness, if needed, without appearing to harass or intimidate</p> <p>Followed proper protocol for introducing exhibits</p> <p>Demonstrated an understanding of the rules of competition and evidence</p> <p>Limited re-cross-examination to scope of re-direct examination</p>
Closing Argument	<p>Incorporated what transpired during trial</p> <p>Summarized the evidence with reasoned arguments</p> <p>Outlined the strengths of his/her side's witnesses and the weaknesses of the other side's witnesses</p> <p>Discussed relevant exhibits when appropriate</p> <p>Theme was carried through to closing</p> <p>Refers to jury instructions or other legal standards when necessary</p> <p>Asked for the verdict, including a request for relief, and explained why the verdict was justifiable</p> <p>Effectively answered and rebutted opponent's case</p>

WITNESSES

Performance	<p>Presented an interesting and authentic character</p> <p>Played up the strengths of his/her statements and adequately explained the weaknesses</p> <p>Understood the facts of the case and the exhibits</p> <p>Provided logical testimony</p> <p>Sounded spontaneous and not memorized</p> <p>Did not give excessively long or non-responsive answers on cross-examination</p> <p>Portrayed a consistent character under cross-examination</p> <p>Maintained factual position under cross-examination</p> <p>Did not offer answers that included any unfair extrapolations</p> <p>Recovered well after objections</p> <p>Remained in character when not on the witness stand</p>
--------------------	--

*** Do NOT reward excessive interruptions and/or obstructionist behavior.

*** Do NOT reward unfair extrapolations.